FLYING SAUCERS

During the past weeks, with the phenomenal increase in the number of Flying Saucer reports there has been a tremendous stimulation of both public and official interest in the subject. Requests for information have poured in on the Air Force, including an official query from the White House. Finally, on July 29, General Samford held a press conference in which he stated, that analysis showed "no pattern of anything remotely consistent with any menace to the United States;" that recent Washington sightings were possibly due to "temperature inversions," others to ionized clouds, ice formations, etc.; that instrumentation would be emphasized henceforth in the Air Force study. He emphatically stated that the unexplained sightings could not have resulted from any experiments or tests conducted by the United States.

At this point, OSI felt that it would be timely to make an evaluation of the Air Force study, its methodology and coverage, the relation of its conclusions to various theories which have been propounded, and to try to reach some conclusion as to the intelligence implications of the
problem -- if any. In view of the wide interest within the Agency, this
briefing has been arranged so that we could report on the survey. It
must be mentioned that outside knowledge of Agency interest in Flying
Saucers carries the risk of making the problem even more serious in the
public mind than it already is, which we and Air Force agree must be
avoided.

In order to supply both breadth and depth to the survey we have
reviewed our own intelligence, going back to the Swedish sightings of
1946; reviewed a large number of individual official reports, recent
press and magazine coverage and the main popular books. Indexes of the
Soviet press were scanned. We interviewed a representative of Air
Force Special Study Group. Following this, we spent a day at Wright
Field in a thorough discussion with the officers conducting the ATIC
study, and finally we took the problem to a selected group of our own
consultants, all leaders in their scientific fields.

From all this, we have come up with facts, theories, explanations
and some conclusions, which we will cover in a brief summary of Flying
Saucers history, an analysis of the ATIC work, and a discussion of the
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explained sightings and of possible theories regarding the unexplained.

We make no recommendations of action. We would ask that questions be

held till the end.

The Saucer furore in this country started in June 1947 when Kenneth

Arnold, a reputable business man flying his own plane reported nine discs

flying in formation past Mount Rainier at an estimated speed of 1000

miles per hour. This was quickly followed in early July 1947 by reports

from a doctor in Phoenix, Arizona, the pilot and co-pilot of a United

Air Liner at Boise, Idaho, and field staff members at Muroc Test Base,

California. The public was somewhat preconditioned by the earlier

Swedish reports of unidentified rockets and the press had a field day.

Among the continuing and increasing mass of reports over the months,

three further incidents which received wide publicity might be mentioned

as they did much not only to maintain interest but also to supply bases

for some of the more fantastic theories. In January 1948, an interception

was attempted at Godman Field Kentucky and the pilot — Captain Mantell —

crashed and was killed. In October 1948, a National Guard fighter pilot

at Fargo, North Dakota — Lt. Gorman — coming in after dark spotted a
moving light below him — also seen from the field — and for twenty
minutes put on a dog-fight with it, finally, being outdistanced at
17,000 feet. The third incident occurred in April 1949 at White Sands
Proving Ground when a Navy Commander, tracking a missile flight by
theodolite, watched two discs maneuvering at high speed around the test
rocket. Three such sightings were made at White Sands within a month.

Meanwhile in 1948, Air Force initiated Project Saucer to study the
phenomena, issued a preliminary report in April 1949 and in December
1949 released sections of its secret report to the press. The conclusion
was that the sightings stemmed from three causes:

1. Mass hysteria
2. Hallucination and hoax
3. Misinterpretation of known objects

This satisfied much of the public but not certain sensational writers.
The resulting highly speculative books and magazine articles combined
with continued reports of sightings built up such a resurgence of public
interest that Air Force, early in 1951, reopened its study, instituted
a world-wide reporting system, and alerted its bases to intercept the
unidentified objects. Planes had orders not to shoot.

Now, let's examine for a moment what all these people claim to have seen. Grouped broadly as visual, radar, and combined visual and radar, ATIC has two major visual classes — first, spherical or elliptical objects, usually of bright metallic lustre, some small (2 or 3 feet across), most estimated at 100 foot diameter and a few 1000 feet wide. There are variants in this group, such as torpedos, triangulars, pencils, even mattress-shapes. These are all daylight reportings.

The second visual group, all night reporting, consists of lights and various luminosities, such as green, flaming-red or blue-white fire balls, moving points of light, and luminous streamers.

Both categories are reported as single objects, in non-symmetrical groups and in formations of varying numbers.

Reported characteristics include three general levels of speed: hovering; moderate, as with a conventional aircraft; and stupendous, up to 16,000 miles per hour in the White Sands incident. Violent maneuvering was reported in somewhat less than 10%. Accelerations have been given as high as 20 g's. With few exceptions, there has been a
complete absence of sound or vapor trail. Evasion upon approach is common.

Radar systems have shown many unidentified "blips" but there is no reported instance of complete tracking in and out of the maximum drum, and no report of a track from station to station. The blip, in almost every case, passed through the center of the scope.

In combined visual and radar sightings, I might mention as illustrations three specific reports.

First, a visual sighting from a plane over Sandy Hook coincident with a blip seen on a ground radar at Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Second, a recent Far East report from an aircraft carrier operating between southern Korea and Honshu. Here ships radar reported a high speed target approaching from the north. Observers on the bridge picked it up visually as a plane. When still far out, it did a superspeed 180° turn and shortly thereafter split in two, disappearing both visually and in the PPI scopes.

The third occurred a few days ago at Wright Field and has not yet been fully analyzed. Two F-94's with camera guns were vectored in on
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a blip. Both pilots sighted an object and one locked on with his AI equipment. Reaching his maximum allowable altitude, he triggered his camera and the negative shows "an object."

Since 1947, there have been about 1500 official reports of sightings plus an enormous volume of letters, phone calls and press reports. During this July alone, official reports totalled 250. Of the 1500, ATTC carries 20% as unexplained and of those received since the first of this year, 20%. They feel that the latter figure might be reduced to 10% on the basis of more information or fuller investigation.

Now to shift away from Air Force for a moment and into the public domain, there are four major theories in explanation of the Flying Saucer.

First, that it is a U.S. Secret weapon development. This has been denied officially at the highest level of government and to make doubly certain we queried Dr. Shitzman, Chairman of the Research and Development Board. On a Top Secret basis, he, too, denies it. However, in the light of the Manhattan District early super security, two factors might be mentioned which tend to confirm the denials - first, the official action of alerting all Air Force commands to intercept, and second, the
The second theory is that these are a Russian development. Though we know that the Russians have done work on elliptical and delta wing principles, we have absolutely no intelligence of such a technological advance as would be indicated here in either design or energy source. Further, there seems to be no logical reason for the security risk which would be involved and there has been no indication of a reconnaissance pattern. However, it should be mentioned that there is a totally unsupported thesis that this may be a Russian high altitude development of the World War II Jap balloon effort using preset flares and the resulting US press reports to check flight tracks.

The third theory is the man from Mars -- space ships -- interplanetary travellers. Even though we might admit that intelligent life may exist elsewhere and that space travel is possible, there is no shred of evidence to support this theory at present. There have been no astronomical observations in confirmation -- no slightest indication of the orbiting which would probably be necessary -- and no tracking. However, it might be noted that Comdr. Mc Laughlin (of the White Sands report), a number of General Mills balloon people
and many others are reported to be convinced of this theory.

The fourth major theory is that, now held by the Air Force, that the sightings, given adequate data, can be explained on the basis either of:

Misinterpretation of known objects, or of as yet little understood natural phenomena.

So much for the history of the problem. Will now pick up with an analysis of the Air Force study.